

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,496	09/20/2006	Kazunori Terada	1830.1026	1676
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 07/02/200 LSEYLLP	8	EXAMINER	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593,496 TERADA ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Edmastors of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period with provision of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period with provision of the p	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☑ All b) ☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CLICE) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-20-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/593,496

Art Unit: 1796

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is unclear in that the term "primary" is not art recognized. Furthermore particle sizes exist as a distribution and therefore the term "average" is unclear where unqualified as to the type of distribution (for instance weight or number average) of particle sizes.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/593,496

Art Unit: 1796

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bastiaens et al. (US 6.353.050).

Patentees disclose a composition containing "conductive fillers" such as carbon fibers to adjust resistivity (abstract; column 18, lines 34-38). Note at least runs 11-35 in Tables 2 and 3 for all of applicants components in combination.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyoshi et al. (US 2003/0116757).

The reference discloses a composition requiring PPE, polyamide and impact modifier and carbon filler for electrically conductive uses (abstract) to which may be added polyester at paragraph 77 as well as paragraph 155.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hossan et al. (US 2002/149006).

The reference discloses a conductive composition requiring PPE, polyamide. See the abstract. Polyester may be added in paragraph 42.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Hossan et al (US 2004/0238793).

The reference discloses a composition requiring PPE, polyamide and electrically conducing carbon black (abstract) and which may be formed from a polyester/carbon black masterbatch (paragraphs 8 and 9).

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15. It is noted that while the rejection under 25 USC

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/593,496

Art Unit: 1796

102(a) maybe overcome by certified translation, the rejection under 35 USC 102 (e) $\,$

may not be.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Takagi et al. (US 2003/0130405).

The reference discloses a composition containing a component "D" which is a

carbon fibril which is dispersed in the sea phase "B" which may be comprised of

polyester and polyamide (claim 5). The component "A" which is present may be PPE

(claim 4). The polyester may be PBT in paragraph 37. Styrenic block copolymer may be

added in paragraph 31. There are no examples in which all of applicants materials are

present in combination. However to arrive at applicants composition by selecting from

the various disclosures of the reference would have been obvious to a practitioner

having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in the expectation of

adequate results absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis

at telephone number 571 272 1075.

Jeffrey C. Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

JCM

6-29-08

/Jeffrey C. Mullis/

Application/Control Number: 10/593,496

Art Unit: 1796

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Page 5